Risk Scorecard

		IMPACT	
Category	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
	< £150k	£150k - £300k	> £300k
Financial	Minor non-compliance with internal	Significant non-compliance with	Major non-compliance with internal
	financial procedures	internal financial procedures	financial procedures
	Minor issues identified by assurance	Significant issues identified by	Major issues identified by assurance
	reviews	assurance reviews	reviews
Political	Minor adverse Local media	Significant adverse Local media	Major adverse Local, Regional or
			National media
	Minor BVPI issues		Major BVPI issues
	Service delay	Service suspended	Service cancelled
Social	Stakeholders consulted and	Limited stakeholder consultation	Stakeholders not consulted and
	concerns considered		concerns not considered
	Minor system problems		Major system problems
Technical	Minor impact on resources (staff,	•	Major impact on resources (staff,
Toomingar	equipment, buildings, etc.)	(staff, equipment, buildings, etc.)	equipment, buildings, etc.)
	Minor non-compliance with	Significant non-compliance with	Major non-compliance with
	· ·	•	legislation or statutory requirements
Legal			3
	Minor penalty or warning	Significant penalty or warning	Major penalty or warning
	Minor District health or cleanliness	Significant District health or	Major District health or cleanliness
	issues	cleanliness issues	issues
Environmental	Minor schemes not consistent with	Significant schemes not consistent	Major schemes not consistent with
	stakeholder expectations	with stakeholder expectations	stakeholder expectations
	Minor dip in	Significant dip in	Major dip in
Performance			productivity/performance as a result
(WETT, Shared	of shared service implementation	of shared service implementation	of shared service implementation
Service)	or orial ou convice implementation	or orial ou convice implementation	er errered eer viee impieriteritetieri
	Minor service delay e.g. waste	Significant disruption to services	Major service delivery issues e.g.
Climata Changa	collection cancelled as a result of	e.g. potential risk to health; lack of	leading to loss of life; major damage
Climate Change	snow; prolonged heat leading to	power.	to property, disruption to local
(Severe Weather	melting roads; increased risk of		economy.
Events)	fires.		

	LIKELIHOOD								
Category	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH						
Occurrence	> 18 months	6 - 18 months	< 6 months						
Probability	< 30%	30% - 70%	> 70%						

Risk Matrix

		Impact					
	Category	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH			
	HIGH	3	6	9			
Likelihood	MEDIUM	2	4	6			
	LOW	1	2	3			

Action Ratings

High	Actions that are <u>fundamental</u> to improve the control environment and progress towards an acceptable risk score.
Medium	Actions that are <u>important</u> to improve the control environment and progress towards an
Low	acceptable risk score. Actions that are desirable to improve the
Low	control environment and progress towards an acceptable risk score.



Service: Regulatory

Key Objective: Ref. No.	1	Key Objective: Ref. No.	2	Key Objective: Ref. No.	3	Key Objective: Ref. No. 4		
One effective and effic		Effective and efficient arrangemen		Maintain our capacity to	achieve service delivery	Effective and efficient contract arrangement for dog control		
Responsibility:	SJ	Responsibility:	SJ	Responsibility:	SJ	Responsibility:	SJ	
Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		
Delays in procuring new	single WRS IT System	Major Power failures or o to Wyatt House		Major staff sickness	(e.g. flu pandemic)	Pest and Dog Control contractors cease operations		
Migration of the seven to Could involve large s				Unable to recruit or retai	in suitably qualified staff	Lack of kennellir	ng for stray dogs	
Significant training needs information								
Question whether there w								
Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)		
Disruption to Service Prov	vision	Disruption to service		Disruption to service		Disruption to service		
Inability to produce record	ls and data			Disruption to service prov	ision	Negative media coverage	•	
Impact on work planning				Unable to meet service demands		Increased public health risks		
				Unable to fulfil statutory o	bligations			
High Impa Financial	act Areas	High Impa	act Areas	High Impa	M M	High Impa	act Areas	
Political	H	Political	L	Political	M	Political	M	
Social	L	Social	M	Social M		Social	M	
Technical	Н	Technical	L	Technical L		Technical	L	
Legal	L	Legal	L	Legal L		Legal	L	
Environmental	L M	Environmental	L	Environmental M Performance M		Environmental	L	
Performance Climate Change	IVI L	Performance Climate Change	L	Performance Climate Change	IVI L	Performance Climate Change	L	
Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	
Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	
High Low Risk Colour (Score)	Low Low Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score)	Low High Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score)	
(00010)	(55510)	(55516)	(55.54.)	(55516)	(55010)	(00010)	(00010)	

Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls
Outcomes of Systems Thinking fed into design of ICT spec	Staff are equipped for mobile/home working	Service priorities to be managed and partners informed of any changes to service	Budget available to use temporary staff or buy in use of other private sector providers in short term
Procurement is part of the project plan and timescales agreed by management Board.	Touchdown stations available in partner council locations	Short term contract workers can be brought in to cover any priority areas	Effective negotiation of new contracts during 2011/12
		Consultants can provide short term cover	
		Active within regional and sub regional groups to share resources if required	
		Effective training and development processes in place to ensure recruitment and retention of staff	

Action			Action			Action			Action		
Ref.	Rating	Actions / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements
											Design specifications
		Design specification			Mobile / flexible working			Have business continuity			contracts correctly and
1.1		correctly and on time	2.1	Low	equipment for all staff	3.1	Low	plan in place	4.1	Medium	on time
		•									
1								Active participation		1	
1		Follow procurement			Have business continuity			regional, sub regional		1	Follow procurement
1.2		process in timely fashion	2.2	Low	plan in place	3.2	Medium	groups by team members	4.2	Medium	process in timely fashion
		,						3			
		Facine cufficient in house									
		Ensure sufficient in-house									Destructure description
1.3		support for system maintenance and	2.3			3.3			4.3	Medium	Restructure dog warden service
1.3	підп	maintenance and	2.3			3.3			4.3	Medium	Service
1.4			2.4			3.4			4.4		
1			2.4			5.4			7.7		
1.5			2.5			3.5			4.5		
1.5			2.5			3.5			4.5		
1										1	
1.6			2.6			3.6			4.6		
1.7			2.7			3.7			4.7		
1.8			2.8			3.8			4.8		
1.9			2.9			3.9			4.9		
1.10			2.10			3.10			4.10		
1.11			2.11			3.11			4.11		
1.12			2.12			3.12			4.12		
1.13			2.13			3.13			4.13		
1.14			2.14			3.14			4.14		
1.15			2.15			3.15			4.15		

Completed by:	Wendy Martin
Job Title:	Business Manager
Date:	12/09/11

Key Objective: Ref. No. 5		Key Objective: Ref. No.	6	Key Objective: Ref. No.	7	Key Objective: Ref. No. 8			
	in place in relation to nd monitoring legislative nges	Robust arrangements ir environmental ii		Effective and efficien	nt budgetary control	Service provision complies with Government requirements			
Responsibility:	SJ	Responsibility:	SJ	Responsibility:	sJ	Responsibility:	SJ		
Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)			
Loss of Majo	r Court Case	Major infectious disea disease		Failure to maintain effe	ctive budgetary control	Criticism or intervention be unhappy with se			
	n the finances of the new								
Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)			
Negative media coverage)	Negative media coverage	if not handled well	Financial loss		Reputational damage			
Loss of confidence in the	service	Impact on other service a	reas	Inability to pay staff/contri	actors				
Financial loss		Well-being of staff		Reputational damage					
		Impact on local communities (health, economic, etc)							
High Impa	act Areas	High Impa	act Areas	High Impa	act Areas	High Impact Areas			
Financial	Н	Financial	Н	Financial	Н	Financial	L		
Political	Н	Political	H	Political	Н	Political	M		
Social Technical	L	Social Technical	Н	Social Technical	M L	Social Technical	L		
Legal	M	Legal	M	Legal	M	Legal	L		
Environmental	Ë	Environmental	H	Environmental	L	Environmental	L		
Performance	L	Performance	M	Performance	M	Performance	L		
Climate Change	L	Climate Change	L	Climate Change	L	Climate Change	L		
Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Accordable	Current	Acceptable		
Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Current Impact Likelihood	Acceptable Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood		
Medium Low	Medium Low	High Low	High Low	Medium Low	Medium Low	Low Low	Low Low		
Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)		
GREEN (2)	GREEN (2)	AMBER (3)	AMBER (3)	GREEN (2)	GREEN (2)	GREEN (1)	GREEN (2)		

Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls
Use of competent staff to undertake investigations	Maintain robust emergency plans	Devolution of cost centres to managers	Keep key government stakeholders appraised of WRS plans and business transformation and address any concerns at an early stage
Proper scheme of delegation to ensure authority to take legal decision is clear	An arrangement in place for Managers to deploy staff to support other teams	Monthly reporting within WRS	
Clear enforcement of policy in place		Quarterly reporting to management board and Joint Committee	
Ensure compliance with legal procedures		Compliance with Bromsgrove's financial procedures	
Effective liaison with partner councils legal services departments			

sei vices de						-					
Action			Action			Action			Action		
Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements
		Legal advice to be sought throughout the entire process using internal									
		and external lawyers			Maintain emergency						
		according to the			plans for foreseeable			Monthly monitoring of			Ongoing liaison with
5.1	Medium	information required.	6.1	Medium	incidents	7.1	Medium	budgets	8.1	Low	Government stakeholders
5.2			6.2			7.2	Low	Regular report to Management Board	8.2		
5.3			6.3			7.3			8.3		
5.4			6.4			7.4			8.4		
5.5			6.5			7.5			8.5		
5.6			6.6			7.6			8.6		
5.7			6.7			7.7			8.7		
5.8 5.9			6.8			7.8 7.9			8.8 8.9		
5.9			6.1			7.9			8.9		
5.11			6.11			7.11			8.11		
5.12			6.12			7.12			8.12		
5.13			6.13			7.13			8.13		
5.14			6.14			7.14			8.14		
5.15			6.15			7.15			8.15		
	•						•			•	

Key Objective: Ref. No. 9		Key Objective: Ref. No.	10	Key Objective: Ref. No.	11	Key Objective: Ref. No. 12			
Achieve stable levels of c	'	Host provides high qua ensure effective s		Minimise any perceived	or real democratic deficit	Effective communication with internal partners			
Responsibility:	МВ	Responsibility:	KD	Responsibility:	MB/JC	Responsibility:	SJ		
Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)			
Level of support from co Regulatory Services will inco	vary due to variations in	Failure of HR/ F	inance support	Local Member / Citizen ic of democratic account	dentifies or perceives lack tability for new service	Communication / interfa	ace with other services		
Residua	l Costs	Failure of ICT support							
		Cost of hosting may increarequired may not be met reperformance being affected	esulting in the service						
Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)			
Changes to partner contri service provision by WRS		Poor quality ICT provision data loss and service disre		Members may not buy int arrangement	to the Shared Service	Some elements of the ne back to services within th Planning. These cannot processes will not work p	e authorities e.g. pe lost otherwise		
		Service suffers due to lact	k of capacity	Citizens may have conce provision	rns over loss of localised				
				Members and citizens may perceive that the joint service in not as good as the previous one.					
High Impa		High Impa		High Impa	act Areas	High Impa			
Financial	H H	Financial	H M	Financial	L H	Financial	M M		
Political Social	H	Political Social	M	Political Social	- 1	Political Social	IVI		
Technical	L	Technical	H	Technical	Ĺ	Technical	L		
Legal	L	Legal	L	Legal L		Legal	L		
Environmental	L	Environmental	L	Environmental L		Environmental	L		
Performance M		Performance	M	Performance	L	Performance	L		
Climate Change	L	Climate Change	L	Climate Change	<u> </u>	Climate Change	L		
Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable		
Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood		
High Low	Medium Low	Medium High	Medium Low	Low Medium	Low Medium	Low Low	Low Low		
Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)		
AMBER (3)	GREEN (2)	RED (6)	GREEN (2)	GREEN (2)	GREEN (2)	GREEN (1)	GREEN (1)		

	Command M	Yan Camtuala		Command I	(au Camérola		Command b	Yaw Cantuala		Cumant I	Yang Camerrala
	Current	ey Controls		Current	Key Controls		Current	Key Controls		Current N	ey Controls
Action			Action			Action			Action		
Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements
		Partners conform with legal agreement on			Maintain ongoing liaison			Ensure good communications back to			Ongoing liaison with relevant parts in partner
9.1	High	budgetary cost	10.1	Medium	with host authority	11.1	Medium	the constituent authorities	12.1	Medium	councils (eg Planning)
					Ensure Management			Ensure all publicity			
9.2			10.2	Medium	Board informed of significant failings	11.2	Low	pushes the joint nature of services	12.2		
0.2			10.2	Wicalam	orgranious runningo	11.2	LOW	SCIVICOS	12.2		
					Host authority to deal			Maintaining "localism"			
					with issues in a timely			into the operational			
9.3			10.3	High	fashion	11.3	Low	delivery	12.3		
9.4			10.4			11.4			12.4		
9.5			10.5			11.5			12.5		
3.3			10.5			11.5			12.3		
9.6			10.6			11.6			12.6		
9.7			10.7			11.7			12.7		
9.8 9.9			10.8 10.9			11.8 11.9		 	12.8 12.9		
9.10			10.10			11.10			12.10		
9.11			10.11			11.11			12.11		
9.12 9.13			10.12 10.13			11.12 11.13			12.12 12.13		
9.14			10.14			11.14			12.14		
9.15			10.15		[11.15			12.15		<u> </u>

Key Objective: Ref. No.	13	Key Objective: Ref. No.	14	Key Objective: Ref. No. 15			
Development where po approach to service		Business transformation savir					
Responsibility:	МВ	Responsibility:		Responsibility:			
Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)		Associated Key Risk(s)			
		service delive	ry problems				
		Cuts in front line services					
In the second se		Investo)					
Impact(s)		Impact(s)		Impact(s)			
Post code lottery on provis makes things difficult for a to advise as there will be of different areas.	ny front end (i.e. HUB)	Reduced service delivery					
Difficult conditions in differ customers operating in mo different requirements from	ore than one area face						
Some fees may be open to standard processing system fees will be different.							
High Impa	ct Areas	High Impa		High Impa			
Financial Political	L M	Financial Political	H M	Financial Political	<i>1</i>		
Social	L	Social	M	Social	√		
Technical	L	Technical	L	Technical	1		
Legal	L	Legal	L	Legal	1		
Environmental	L	Environmental	M	Environmental	√		
Performance	L	Performance	M	Performance	1		
Climate Change	L	Climate Change	L	Climate Change	✓		
Commercial	Accountable	Comment	Acceptable	C	Assertable		
Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable	Current	Acceptable		
Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood	Impact Likelihood		
Low Medium Risk Colour (Score) GREEN (2)	Low Medium Risk Colour (Score) GREEN (2)	Medium High Risk Colour (Score)	Medium Low Risk Colour (Score) GREEN (2)	Risk Colour (Score)	Risk Colour (Score)		
ORLEIT (L)	GREEN (2)		ONLEH (Z)				

Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls	Current Key Controls
-		-
Action	Action	Action
ACTION	ACTION	ACTION

						J []				
Action			Action			Action				
Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements	Ref.	Rating	Action / Improvements		
		Have clear scripting for								
		Customer Service staff so that they know the			Clear timetable for					
42.4	Madium	different provisions in	444	I II ala	application of systems	45.4				
13.1	Medium	each district	14.1	High	thinking in all areas	15.1				
13.2	Medium	Gradually move towards a more standardised approach within the demands of individual local authorities	14.2	High	Implement changes in timetable	15.2				
13.3			14.3	Medium	Effective communication with staff around change procedures	15.3				
13.4			14.4	Medium	Regular reports to Management Board	15.4				
13.5			14.5	Low	Ensure all managers and senior practitioners have had change management training	15.5				
13.6			14.6			15.6				
13.7			14.7			15.6 15.7				
13.8			14.8		†	15.8				
13.9			14.9			15.9				
13.10			14.10			15.10				
13.11			14.11			15.11				
13.12			14.12		 	15.12				
13.13 13.14			14.13 14.14		 	15.13 15.14		-		
13.14			14.14		 	15.14				
13.13			14.13			15.15	l .			

Risk Register

Service: Regulatory	1	Current Position Key:
	RED	Behind Target
	GREEN	On Target
	BLUE	Completed

				BLUE	Completed				
Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
1	One effective and efficient database system across the partners	1.1	Design specification correctly and on time		Business Manager	Oct-11	High		
			Follow procurement process in timely fashion	SW	Business Manager	Mar-12	High		
			Ensure sufficient in-house support for system maintenance and	SW	Business Manager	Mar-12	High		
		1.4							
		1.5							
		1.6							
		1.7							
		1.8							
		1.9							
		1.10							
		1.11							
		1.12							
		1.13							
		1.14							
		1.15							
	Effective and efficient Business Continuity arrangements in place		Mobile / flexible working equipment for all staff	Team Managers	Team Managers	Dec-11	Low		
		2.2	Have business continuity plan in place	Level B	Business Manager	Mar-12	Low		
		2.3		-					
		2.4							
		2.5							
		2.6							
		2.7							
		2.8							
		2.9							
		2.10							
		2.11							
		2.12							
		2.13							
		2.14							
		2.15							

					.				
Key Risk Ref.		Action		Responsible Officer	Responsible Officer	Target Completion Date		End of Year	
No.	Key Risk	Ref.	Actions / Improvements	(Name)	(Job Title)	(Month/Year)	Rating	Position	Comments
				1	1		1		
3	Maintain our capacity to achieve service delivery		Have business continuity plan in place	Level B	Business Manager	Mar-12	Low		
		3.2	Active participation regional, sub regional groups by team members	Team Managers	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		3.3							
		3.4							
		3.5							
		3.6							
		3.7							
		3.8							
		3.9							
		3.10							
		3.11							
		3.12							
		3.13							
		3.14							
		3.15							
4	Effective and efficient	4.1	Design specifications contracts	WM/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager	Sep-11	Medium		1
-	contract arrangement for dog control		correctly and on time	WW/AF	(Central Operations)	Зер-11	Wedium		
		4.2	Follow procurement process in timely fashion	WM/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager (Central Operations)	Dec-11	Medium		
		4.3	Restructure dog warden service	Level B/AF	Business Manager/Team Manager (Central Operations)	Mar-12	Medium		
		4.4							
		4.5							
		4.6							
		4.7							
		4.8							
		4.9							
		4.10							
		4.11							
		4.12							
		4.13							
		4.14							
		4.15		1				l	1

Key Risk Ref.		Action		Responsible Officer	Responsible Officer	Target Completion Date		End of Year	
No.	Key Risk	Ref.	Actions / Improvements	(Name)	(Job Title)	(Month/Year)	Rating	Position	Comments
	Robust arrangements in place in relation to obtaining legal advice and monitoring legislative changes		Legal advice to be sought throughout the entire process using internal and external lawyers according to the information required.	Team Managers	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		5.2							
		5.3							
		5.4							
		5.5							
		5.6 5.7							
		5.8				+			
		5.9							
		5.10							
		5.11							
		5.12							
		5.13							
		5.14							
		5.15							
	Te de la constantina		Take the second		I -				T
6	Robust arrangements in place to respond to an environmental incident/disaster		Maintain emergency plans for foreseeable incidents	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		6.2							
		6.3							
		6.4				1			
		6.5				1			
		6.6 6.7				+			
		6.7				+			
		6.9				1			
		6.10							
		6.11				1			
		6.12							
		6.13							
		6.14		•					
		6.15							

					T	_		1	
Key						Target			
Risk				Responsible		Completion		End of	
Ref.		Action		Officer	Responsible Officer	Date		Year	
No.	Key Risk	Ref.	Actions / Improvements	(Name)	(Job Title)	(Month/Year)	Rating	Position	Comments
	Effective and efficient	7.1	Monthly monitoring of budgets	Team Managers	Team manager	Ongoing	Medium		
	budgetary control								
		7.2	Regular report to Management Board	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Low		
		7.3							
		7.4							
		7.5							
		7.6							
		7.7							
		7.8							
		7.9							
		7.10							
		7.11							
		7.12							
		7.13							
		7.14							
		7.15							
	Service provision	8.1		SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Low		
	complies with		stakeholders						
	Government								
	requirements								
		8.2							
		8.3							
		8.4							
		8.5							
		8.6							
		8.7							
		8.8							
		8.9							
		8.10							
		8.11							
		8.12							
		8.13							
		8.14							
		8.15							

					T-				1
Key						Target			
Risk				Responsible		Completion		End of	
Ref.		Action		Officer	Responsible Officer	Date		Year	
No.	Key Risk	Ref.	Actions / Improvements	(Name)	(Job Title)	(Month/Year)	Rating	Position	Comments
_			In	I	Tea . D			ı	1
	Achieve stable levels of		Partners conform with legal	Management	Management Board members	Ongoing	High		
	contribution from partner		agreement on budgetary cost	Board					
	authorities,	9.2							
		9.2							
		9.3							
		9.4							
		9.5							
		9.6							
		9.7							
		9.0							
		9.10							
		9.11							
		9.12							
		9.13							
		9.14							
		9.15							
		00		l .					L
10	Host provides high	10.1	Maintain ongoing liaison with host	Team Managers	Head of Regulatory Services,	Ongoing	Medium		
	quality support services		authority	and Senior	Business Managers and Team	3. 3			
	to ensure effective		,	Management	Managers				
	service provision			Team					
		10.2	Ensure Management Board informed	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services	Ongoing	Medium		
			of significant failings						
			Host authority to deal with issues in a	KD	Chief Executive, Bromsgrove	Ongoing	High		
			timely fashion		_		_		
		10.4							
		10.5							
		10.6							
		10.7							
		10.8							
		10.9							
		10.10							
		10.11							
		10.12							
		10.13							
		10.14							
		10.15							

Key						Target			
Risk				Responsible		Completion		End of	
Ref.	.,	Action		Officer	Responsible Officer	Date		Year	
No.	Key Risk	Ref.	Actions / Improvements	(Name)	(Job Title)	(Month/Year)	Rating	Position	Comments
	In attackment and a second second		le	T M	lus def Demokra Osasiese	0	Mar alla cons	1	T T
11	Minimise any perceived or real democratic deficit		Ensure good communications back to the constituent authorities	Team Managers and Senior	Head of Regualtory Services, Business Managers, Team	Ongoing	Medium		
	or real democratic deficit		to the constituent authorities	Management	Managers				
		11.1		Team	Ivialiageis				
			Ensure all publicity pushes the joint	Team Managers	Head of Regualtory Services,	Ongoing	Low		
			nature of services	and Senior	Business Managers, Team	Origoning	LOW		
			Thatare or corvides	Management	Managers				
		11.2		Team	I and gene				
			Maintaining "localism" into the	Senior	Head of Regualtory Services,	Ongoing	Low		
			operational delivery	Management	Business Managers,				
		11.3	<u> </u>	Team					
		11.4							
		11.5							
		11.6							
		11.7							
		11.8							
		11.9							
		11.10 11.11							
		11.11							
		11.12							
		11.14							
		11.15							
		11.13	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	II.			<u> </u>
12	Effective communication		Ongoing liaison with relevant parts in	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
	with internal partners		partner councils (eg Planning)			3. 3.			
	'	12.1							
		12.2							
		12.3							
		12.4							
		12.5							
		12.6							
		12.7							
		12.8							
		12.9							
		12.10 12.11							
		12.11							
		12.12							
		12.13							
		12.15							

Key Risk Ref. No.	Key Risk	Action Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year Position	Comments
13	Development where possible of harmonised approach to service delivery by partners	13.1	Have clear scripting for Customer Service staff so that they know the different provisions in each district	Team Manager	Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		13.2	Gradually move towards a more standardised approach within the demands of individual local authorities	Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		13.3 13.4	dunomico						
		13.5 13.6							
		13.7 13.8 13.9							
		13.10 13.11							
		13.12 13.13 13.14							
		13.15							
14	Business transformation to deliver required cost savings	14.1	Clear timetable for application of systems thinking in all areas	DM	Team manager Support Services	Sep-11	High		
		14.2	Implement changes in timetable	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Dec-11	High		
		14.3	Effective communication with staff around change procedures	Team Managers and Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers, Team Managers	Ongoing	Medium		
		14.4	Regular reports to Management Board	SJ	Head of Regulatory Services,	Ongoing			
		14.5	Ensure all managers and senior practitioners have had change management training	Senior Management Team	Head of Regulatory Services, Business Managers,	30/09/11	Low		
		14.6 14.7							
		14.8							
		14.9							
		14.10 14.11							
		14.11							
		14.13							
		14.14							
		14.15							

Key Risk Ref.		ction Ref.	Actions / Improvements	Responsible Officer (Name)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Target Completion Date (Month/Year)	Rating	End of Year	Comments
140.	noy mon	tor.	Actions / improvements	(Haille)	(oos me)	(month) rear)	itating	1 03111011	Comments
15		5.1							
		5.2							
		5.3							
		5.4							
		5.5							
	15	5.6							
	15	5.7							
	15	5.8							
	15	5.9							
	15	5.10							
	15	5.11							
	15	5.12							
	15	5.13							
	15	5.14							
	15	5.15							

Comple	eted by:	Wendy Martin
Jo	b Title:	Business Manager
	Date:	40798